

Amendments to the claims,**Listing of all claims pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(c)**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1. (Original) In an electronic mail (e-mail) system, a method for processing an incoming e-mail message that is being received from another domain, the method comprising:
 - receiving at a first process a request from a particular domain to establish a new connection for transmitting a particular e-mail message to the e-mail system;
 - in response to receipt of said request from the particular domain, creating a second process for handling the request to establish a new connection, said second process being connected to a flow control filter providing filtering on a per-domain basis;
 - comparing the request from the particular domain against configurable policy rules; and
 - denying the request if any of said policy rules would be violated.
2. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein said configurable policy rules specify a maximum number of connections permitted by a given domain over a ~~desired~~ user-configurable period of time.
3. (Currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein said ~~desired~~ user-configurable period of time is configurable.
4. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 - if none of said policy rules would be violated, permitting the requested connection and incrementing a counter indicating how many connections have been granted to the particular domain.
5. (Currently amended) The method of claim 4, further comprising:
 - after passage of the ~~desired~~ user-configurable period of time, resetting the counter.

6. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 permitting the requested connection;
 receiving sender information about the particular e-mail message from the particular domain;
 comparing the sender information from the particular domain against said configurable policy rules; and
 blocking receipt of the incoming e-mail message if any of said policy rules would be violated.

7. (Original) The method of claim 6, wherein said sender information is transmitted during a "MAIL FROM" phase of SMTP (Simple Mail Transport Protocol) processing.

8. (Currently amended) The method of claim 6, wherein said configurable policy rules specify a maximum number of different senders permitted by a given domain over a ~~desired~~ user-configurable period of time.

9. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 permitting the requested connection;
 receiving recipient information about the particular e-mail message from the particular domain;
 comparing the recipient information from the particular domain against said configurable policy rules; and
 blocking receipt of the incoming e-mail message if any of said policy rules would be violated.

10. (Previously presented) The method of claim 9, wherein said recipient information is transmitted during a "RCPT TO" phase of SMTP (Simple Mail Transport Protocol) processing.

11. (Currently amended) The method of claim 9, wherein said configurable policy rules specify a maximum number of different recipients permitted by a given domain over a ~~desired~~ user-configurable period of time.

12. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 permitting the requested connection;
 receiving e-mail message body data about the particular e-mail message from the particular domain;
 comparing the e-mail message body data from the particular domain against said configurable policy rules; and
 blocking receipt of the incoming e-mail message if any of said policy rules would be violated.

13. (Previously presented) The method of claim 12, wherein said e-mail message body data is transmitted during a "DATA" phase of SMTP (Simple Mail Transport Protocol) processing.

14. (Currently amended) The method of claim 12, wherein said configurable policy rules specify a maximum aggregate volume of e-mail permitted by a given domain over a ~~desired~~ user-configurable period of time.

15. (Currently amended) The method of claim 14, wherein said maximum aggregate volume is based on total byte count of e-mail received from a given domain over a ~~desired~~ user-configurable period of time.

16. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said first process comprises a mail transport agent (MTA) process.

17. (Original) The method claim 16, wherein said second process comprises a

child mail transport agent (MTA) process.

18. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein said second process is created from said first process via a forking operation.

19. (Original) The method of claim 18, wherein said second process is initially created as a copy of said first process.

20. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:
creating a multitude of new processes for handling multiple requests to establish new connections, each new process being connected to said flow control filter providing filtering on a per-domain basis.

21. (Original) An electronic mail (e-mail) system providing filtering of incoming e-mail messages on a per-domain basis, the system comprising:

- a parent process for receiving requests from different domains to establish new connections for transmitting e-mail messages;
- a plurality of child processes for handling the requests to establish new connections and for handling subsequent requests for transmitting e-mail messages;
- a set of rules specifying conditions for accepting requests for new connections and for accepting requests for transmitting e-mail messages; and
- a flow control filter, in communication with said child processes and said set of rules, providing filtering based on each domain's conformance to said rules.

22. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein said parent process and said child processes comprise mail transport agent (MTA) processes.

23. (Original) The system claim 21, wherein each said child process is created from the parent process via a forking operation.

24. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein each said child process is initially created as a copy of said parent process.

25. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein said set of rules comprises a configurable set of rules.

26. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein said set of rules comprises a set of rules stored in a text-based configuration file.

27. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein said set of rules comprises user-created class definitions specifying different classes of domains.

28. (Original) The system of claim 27, wherein each said class definition includes a domain name corresponding to a particular domain that is to be monitored for filtering.

29. (Currently amended) The system of claim 27, wherein each said class definition includes limits that a particular domain must adhere to over a given ~~desired-user-configurable~~ period of time.

30. (Original) The system of claim 29, wherein said limits include selected ones of:

- maximum number of different senders,
- maximum number of different recipients,
- maximum number of connections,
- maximum number of envelopes, and
- maximum aggregate volume of mail.

31. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein a given domain is not filtered if a corresponding rule has not been created for that given domain.

32. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein said flow control filter denies a given domain's request for a new connection if any of said rules would be violated by granting the request.

33. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein said requests for transmitting e-mail messages comprise SMTP (Simple Mail Transport Protocol) commands submitted to the e-mail system from different domains.

34. (Original) The system of claim 33, wherein said flow control filter processes said SMTP commands received from different domains to ascertain whether any of said rules would be violated.

35. (Original) The system of claim 34, wherein said SMTP commands include a "MAIL FROM" command specifying sender information for a given e-mail message.

36. (Original) The system of claim 35, wherein said flow control filter examines said sender information to ascertain whether any of said rules would be violated.

37. (Previously presented) The system of claim 34, wherein said SMTP commands include a "RCPT TO" command specifying recipient information for a given e-mail message.

38. (Original) The system of claim 37, wherein said flow control filter examines said recipient information to ascertain whether any of said rules would be violated.

39. (Original) The system of claim 34, wherein said SMTP commands include a "DATA" command specifying e-mail message body data for a given e-mail message.

40. (Original) The system of claim 39, wherein said flow control filter examines said e-mail message body data to ascertain whether any of said rules would be violated.

41. (Original) In an electronic mail (e-mail) system, a method for processing incoming e-mail messages that are being received from different domains, the method comprising:

receiving requests from different domains to establish new connections for transmitting e-mail messages to the e-mail system;

for each request received in connection with transmitting a given e-mail message, performing substeps of:

identifying a particular domain that has submitted the request,

based on the determined identity of the domain, determining whether the request to establish a new connection can be granted without violating policy rules, and

based on the determined identity of the domain, determining whether subsequent requests to transmit different portions of a given e-mail message can be granted without violating said policy rules.

42. (Currently amended) The method of claim 41, wherein said step of determining whether the request to establish a new connection can be granted includes:

determining a maximum number of connections permitted for the particular domain over a ~~given desired~~ user-configurable period of time; and

determining whether the particular domain would exceed said maximum number of connections if the request were granted.

43. (Currently amended) The method of claim 41, wherein said step of determining whether subsequent requests to transmit different portions of a given e-mail message can be granted includes:

determining a maximum number of different senders permitted for the particular domain over a ~~given desired~~ user-configurable period of time; and

determining whether the particular domain would exceed said maximum number of different senders if the request were granted.

44. (Currently amended) The method of claim 41, wherein said step of determining whether subsequent requests to transmit different portions of a given e-mail message can be granted includes:

determining a maximum number of different recipients permitted for the particular domain over a ~~given-desired~~ user-configurable period of time; and

determining whether the particular domain would exceed said maximum number of different recipients if the request were granted.

45. (Currently amended) The method of claim 41, wherein said step of determining whether subsequent requests to transmit different portions of a given e-mail message can be granted includes:

determining a maximum number of different e-mail envelopes permitted for the particular domain over a ~~given-desired~~ user-configurable period of time; and

determining whether the particular domain would exceed said maximum number of different e-mail envelopes if the request were granted.

46. (Currently amended) The method of claim 41, wherein said step of determining whether subsequent requests to transmit different portions of a given e-mail message can be granted includes:

determining a maximum aggregate volume of e-mail permitted for the particular domain over a ~~given-desired~~ user-configurable period of time; and

determining whether the particular domain would exceed said maximum aggregate volume of e-mail if the request were granted.

47. (Original) The method of claim 41, further comprising:

if the request to establish a new connection cannot be granted without violating said policy rules, denying the request.

48. (Original) The method of claim 47, further comprising:

returning an error code indicating why the request is denied.

49. (Original) The method of claim 41, further comprising:
if the request to transmit different portions of a given e-mail message cannot be granted without violating said policy rules, denying the request.

50. (Original) The method of claim 41, wherein portions of a given e-mail message include sender information, recipient information, and message body data.

51. (Original) The method of claim 41, wherein said policy rules are configurable.

52. (Original) The method of claim 41, wherein said policy rules comprise user-edited rules created for different domains.

53. (Currently amended) The method of claim 52, wherein each user-edited rule comprises a host class definition specifying a particular domain and corresponding limits to be applied against that domain over a ~~given-desired~~ user-configurable period of time.